IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

:: O R D E R ::

(1)- Laxmi Chand V/s State of Raj. & Ors.
(S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5366/2004)

(2)- Mangilal Meena V/s State of Raj. & Ors.
(S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5367/2004)

(3)- Trimohan Sharma V/s State of Raj. & Ors.
(S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5368/2004)

UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

DATE OF ORDER :: 03.12.2004

PRESENT

HON'BLE MR. PRAKASH TATIA,J.

Mr.Rajendra Choudhary,for the petitioner/s

BY THE COURT :

In these three writ petitions there are identical facts and allegations that the three petitioners gave receipts of receiving the wheat to gave Storekeeper Shri Veer Bhadra Singh and on this ground their authorisation for the fair price shop was cancelled. In view of the facts, it will be sufficient to mention the facts of the case of S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5366/2004. Therefore, all these three writ petitions are decided by this common judgment.

It appears from the facts of the case that the authorities have passed the orders under challenge accepting the admission of the petitioner himself before the Sub-Divisional Officer admitting that he did not receive the wheat but he gave the receipt of receiving the wheat and further admitted that he has deposited amount of Rs.43,000/- in the treasury. The allegation against the petitioner was that he gave receipt without receiving the wheat and further he wants to save the store-keeper Shri Veer Bhadra Singh, therefore, gave wrong receipt of receiving the wheat.

In view of the admission of the petitioners themselves that they gave the false receipts without receiving the wheat and also deposited the amount in the treasury. I do not find any reason to interfere with the orders passed by the concerned authorities passed after giving them opportunities of hearing.

Therefore, all these three writ petitions are dismissed.

(PRAKASH TATIA)J.

RM/